From: Scott Turner
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 11/20/01 9:39am
Subject: Microsoft vs DOJ

Sir,

Funny how things go, when our Govenor Bush won the election, the first thing I thought was, "Well, Microsoft just got off the hook." I really had hoped that the DOJ would hold Microsoft to the same standard that others are held, but it really does appear that they bought their president.

After being found guilty of violating the Anti-trust laws, you let them off with a "Please don't be a bad boy."

They didn't follow any of the agreements that they had prior to this, what makes you think that they will follow any slap on the wrist agreement now? You must apply a stringent penalty to them. If they had competed, rather than start with the rather lopsided advantage that they did, they would have had to do thing differently. Lets face it, Microsoft doesn't compete. They apply try to apply what the Soviet military called the eleventh principle of war, anahilation. Or haven't you noticed that while releasing updates to their OS they have steadily increased their price of the OS, while providing "free" their web browser. Of course it's free. You pay for it when you purchase the OS. That is why it is more expensive.

Scott Turner